EVALUATING THE CROSS & 'PENAL SUBSTITUTION' (or Penal Satisfaction: hereafter P. S.) By Bob Wilson

P.S. says our sin violates a holy God & the perfect righteousness He requires. So, God can only forgive us *if* a perfect Jesus pays our penalty by suffering its' <u>full punishment</u> as a <u>Substitute</u> dying in our place. <u>Bearing God's wrath</u>, he <u>satisfies</u> the need for <u>justice</u>, and so lets God excuse believers in this *transaction* from sin's results. *But*, the **Bible** never uses the underlined phrases, *and* **its' truths conflict with P.S.**

1. P.S. is needed to **change** *God*'s inclination toward sinners, because giving us grace would contradict His wrath toward sin, unless inflicting it on Jesus alleviates it. Thus expositor John Stott can say, "God gave himself to save us *from* God." *But*, the Bible *never* says God needs a sacrifice to be more gracious toward our sin. Romans 1 & 2's focus on God's *wrath* would be a perfect place to explain that the cross met *God*'s need to satisfy 'justice.' *Instead*, it says, "*We* are reconciled," because "*while* we were still *enemies*," the cross showed God's *already* merciful nature. So, the cross satisfies & "*demonstrates* His love," *not* his wrath (Rom. 5:6-10). Change is never needed in God's inclination toward sinners (Mal. 3:6).

"God was in Christ reconciling the *world* to himself," *not* God to us. The change needed is in *our* life & disposition toward God. Jesus died to empower us to "no longer live for ourselves" (2 Cor. 5:15,18-21). This enables "God's law to be met *in* us, who *live* not by the sinful nature, but by the Spirit" (Rom. 8:3f). He died "*so that* we might die to sins and *live* for righteousness... following in his steps" (1 Pet. 2:21,24).

<u>2</u>. P.S. says that God is unable to simply **forgive**, or write off our debt. P.S. argues that the sinner's offense against God can only be 'satisfied' when He obtains the punishment that sin & the Law requires. *But* in reality, *without* requiring such a full penalty, Jesus proclaims God's Good News of forgiveness, and regularly displays God as one fully able to graciously embrace and forgive sinners. Jesus' parable of God's relationship to a lost prodigal presents God as a wronged "Father" able to *choose* to restore a broken relationship by *forgiving*, *not* as One who needs retribution or wrath (Lk. 6:27-38; 7:36-50; 15:1f, 11-32).

Jesus said *our* calling to forgive enemies *without* securing payment is based on imitating how *God* gives mercy to rebels. Requiring *no* payment is the heart of being like our "Father" (Mt. 5:38-48). God does *not* say, "Forgive as I say, not as I do," as if *God* can not do it without huge recompense. *We* are to *keep* loving & forgiving those who do us evil, seeking no pay back, and surely God has no *less* love than us. In truth, God's core nature as love *is* able to forgive whenever there is repentance (1 John 4:10).

Since "forgiveness," by definition, is not conditioned on any payment, it cannot be explained in terms of a debt paid. To say Jesus paid the full *price* of sins, such that it *cancels* that debt, is like saying I owed you \$50, and someone provided you full payment. Then, *no* debt is left for God to consider 'forgiving.' If God can only forgive, *if* he gets the payment due, then it would appear that God never truly *forgives*!

<u>3</u>. For P.S., '**justice**' means satisfying God's requirement for a retribution proportionate to the offense, as Jesus suffers the penalty our sins deserve. *But* Jesus opposed proportionate "eye for an eye" trans- actions (Mt. 5:38), and *transferring* the wicked's moral consequences to another was unbiblical (Eze. 18:20; Pr. 17:15; Dt. 24:16). Unlike financial debt, someone else can't pay to erase *our* moral guilt. So, redirect-ting wrath to an innocent One never makes the guilty acceptable, or represents *any* form of 'justice.'

Establishing God's righteous 'justice' requires that God *restore* the wholeness that evil takes away. For example, executing our child's killer *won't* satisfy or cancel the wrong. Only restoring him to us could 'put right' the loss. God's desire for *justice* (=righteousness) emphasizes taking away *sin*, not its' penalty. Thus, it is satisfied when wrong things are graciously made right. But what both defeats evil *and* heals, is not a violent payment, but God's forgiveness of enemies, displayed in graciously absorbing such evil.

<u>4</u>. P.S. says God requires a **'retribution'** for sins, and so inflicts that on Jesus. *But*, God only applies needed consequences for sin, *not* pay back. So, Jesus rejected basing relationships on obtaining repayment for wrongs, and insisted God is like a good parent (Mt. 7:9-11; 5:38f; 23:1,9). A loving parent's 'wrath' for wrongs is never for pay back. It is always part of *love*'s discipline that seeks to benefit the child.

5. In P.S., we can enjoy God's love *because* 'punishment' satisfied his **wrath**. *But* "wrath" is not an emotion to be vented; it is *not* contrary to his love, but an instrument *of* love that displays his opposition to evil, and *corrects* us (Lev. 26:14-45; Lam. 3:22,31-33; Jer. 3:12,13; 30:24; 32:37-40; Isa. 26:9; 51:17,22; 54:8; 57:16-18). So, wrath is *satisfied* when rebels come to repentance, *not* by getting vented. God's love & wrath goes on. But Jesus' life, death, and resurrection can restore and change *us*, so that we do not *need* "wrath."

<u>6</u>. P.S.'s cross secures 'payment' that fully *cancels* sin's penalty, and nothing else is necessary. *But* Jesus' death by itself has *not* purchased man's salvation or immunity from judgment and hell. For in 1 Cor. 15:17, "You are still in your *sins...* <u>if</u> Christ had not been *raised.*" Only his **resurrection**'s power in us can enable the victory necessary over sin's power & death. For Easter *validated* Jesus' forgiving way with sinners, *and* made him alive in the Spirit, bringing His power into our battle with sin and self.

<u>7</u>. P.S. *focuses* on 'believing' the cross provided the punishment that *God* requires. Since Jesus' **life & teaching** aren't vital to provide that, his *focus* on forming disciples who follow the way of his teaching & death is minimized (Mt. 28:19f). He regularly required taking up *our* own cross (Mk. 8:34f; Lk. 9:22-25; 14:27; Mt. 10:38; 16:24; Jn. 12:25). For his cross is *not* a past transaction which cancels our need for a righteous *life*. But Jesus' *life* upheld God's provocative way of bringing grace & shows why he was killed $\underline{\&}$ is exalted.

8. Concern with ongoing *sins* is weakened by P.S.'s focus on escaping sin's *penalty*. For Jesus 'paid *it* all' and eliminates it, *without* subduing sin in our life which needs consequences. *But*, God's ongoing 'punishments' *and* forgiveness have the *same* purpose as Jesus' death: to deliver us from evil. So, even *believers* (who *don't* persevere in faith & holiness) face God's judgment & wrath. "If we deliberately keep sinning... only fearful expectation of judgment & fire... The Lord *will* judge His people" (Heb. 10:26-31; Rom. 11:22; 2 Pet. 2:20; Rev. 3:5). So, *our* own repentance, perseverance & holiness *are* essential, and in *each* judgment passage, who is *saved* is decided "according to our *works*" (Rom. 2:6-16; Mt. 25:31-46; Rev. 20:12,13).

The cross is not to 'transfer' our penalty, but it serves to "save us from *sin*," and "take *away*" our sin (Mt. 4:1; Jn. 1:29; Ex. 34:6f; 23:7), by enabling a renewal inside *us*, as promised in Jesus' *New Covenant* (Lk. 22:20; Jer. 31:31-34). Thus in Romans 6:1-22, Jesus' death pleases God *because* it "frees" us to live "*in* Christ" a "new *life...* no longer as slaves to sin," as we "offer our self to God" and find such "holiness... results in eternal life." Then, as we "die *with* Him" to self, his cross enables us to be God's reconciled people.

The cross makes us 'stumble' *because* it shows what God requires in *our* life, is that *we* too must forgive without securing any payback. Luke 24:25f explains, the prophets' message that suffering & death must *precede* "glory" makes it sound 'foolish.' What we resist is His call to a *life* of repentance & forgiving. (Lk. 23:34; 17:3f; 24:47; 11:4; Mt. 6:15; Acts 3:17-19; 2:38; 5:31; 17:30; 1 Pet. 2:12,19f-24; 3:1f; 4:1f, 13f; 1 Cor. 1:18-25)

9. P.S. finds God's 'satisfaction' in the punishment of sins in the O.T. **sacrifices** too. *But*, these sacrifices did *not* receive God's wrath, pay for *or* cause God to forgive sin, or even please God, who does "*not* desire" them (Mic. 6:6-8; Hos. 6:6; Isa. 1:11ff). The verb (*kipper*/'propitiate') related to sacrifice acted on *sin* (this verb's direct object), *not* on God's wrathful disposition. Sacrifices assured that God forgives (Ps. 51:1-17; 40:6). So, when repentant hearts looked to his grace, God's "mercy" "is what changed them, as it curbs sin's consequences and wrath.

Hebrews 10 explains, Jesus' sacrificial life and death is superior *because* it can "perfect" what God seeks to do in us, *not* because it better pacified God's wrath. Thus, Jesus "established" a *life* that does God's "will" (1-9), to "perfect those *being made* holy" (12-16; 2:11, 14, 18), and "*set aside*" the way of sacrifice and scape-goating!

10. P.S. explains 'justice' for individuals, but not why the cross will one day "reconcile all things" (Col. 1:20).

11. P.S. depicts God the **Father** inflicting the violence that satisfies the need for justice on a merciful **Son**. P.S. supposes that Jesus' word "forsaken" on the cross (Mt. 27:46), proves God's holy presence was repulsed by sin, and so abandons him. *But*, that would contradict his quotation's context, in which God turns his face *to* embrace him (see Ps. 22:1 & 24! 31:5; 139; Heb. 5:7). Arguing that God *can't* 'look upon sin' rejects Jesus' revelation of God in the flesh as the One who never recoils from sinners, but embraces and forgives them. For Jesus displays the *same* heart as God (Jn. 14:9), one *already* governed by love and grace toward sinners.

12. P.S.'s God seeks and *causes* the **violence** Jesus got. *But*, God in Jesus denounced violent solutions, and *absorbed* men's violence, *not* God's (Mt. 26:52; 5:38-48; 12:18-20; Lk. 9:54f; 19:42-44). Divine *love* destroyed violence's power by *refusing* to return it. It "keeps no accounts," forgiving *without* evening the score (1 Cor. 13:5).

Bob holds a B.A. in psychology from the University of California (Los Angeles), and earned a Masters of Divinity and Doctorate of Pastoral Theology at Fuller Seminary in Pasadena. (His dissertation was *A Critique of John Wesley's Doctrine of Sanctification*.)